

Please check the best descriptor of your evaluation of the following:

- | | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|---|--|-------|---|---|-------------------|---|---|
| 10. Course Content: | <u>4</u> | <u>1</u> | I'm not sure if I can rate this; I would say excellent | | | | | | | |
| 11. Organization: | <u>2</u> | <u>3</u> | _____ | _____ | _____ | | | | | |
| 12. Clarity: | <u>3</u> | <u>2</u> | Great for a person with very little geology knowledge | | | | | | | |
| 13. Manuel: | <u>3</u> | <u>2</u> | Easy to follow & keep up | | | | | | | |
| 14. Visual Aids: | <u>2</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>1</u> | Projector a little blurry,
probably lightning in the room (maybe use a large TV screen) | | | | | | |
| 15. Facilities: | <u>4</u> | _____ | <u>1</u> | _____ | _____ | | | | | |
| 16. Meals: | <u>4</u> | <u>1</u> | Hildale meal was excellent! | | | | | | | |
| 17. Field Trips: | <u>4</u> | <u>1</u> | _____ | _____ | _____ | | | | | |
| 18. Would you be interested in a follow-up to the course you just attended? YES <u>2</u> or NO <u>2</u> Why or Why Not? <u>Would be interested in lightning data processing; Earthquake data; No, unless interpretation methods better developed; General Knowledge – personal research & learning; Practical application.</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19. What are would you like to see expanded? <u>More case histories; Earthquake data; More specific examples where technique was used successfully in hard rock deposits;</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20. Please indicate ways which this seminar may improve your abilities to perform your job? | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21. Instructors Name: <u>H. Roice Nelson, Jr.</u>
Date: <u>19-21 January 2021</u>
Place: <u>Iron Springs Adventure Resort</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. To what extent did the instructor appear to know the subject? | <u>4</u> | <u>1</u> | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Very Knowledgeable | | To Some Extent | | | | | Not Knowledgeable | | |
| 23. How much did the instructor motivate you to learn the subject? | <u>5</u> | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Very Much | | To Some Extent | | | | | None | | |
| 24. To what extent did the instructor's relationship and interaction facilitate your learning? | <u>5</u> | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Very Much | | To Some Extent | | | | | None | | |
| 25. Please make any other comments that would enable this instructor to improve the presentation of this seminar: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>More details on the various lightning attributes; practice as this presentation; ; I'm not sure if this matters, but in Nursing Seminars they have to address 'bias.' Is this a concern? I actually did not see any bias, but I'm not in this field. I did see ou were very attentive to the others and their points of views.;</u> | | | | | | | | | |

26. How would you suggest marketing this seminar and to who specifically?

I think that this course should be offered in oil & gas cities, and in mining centers; A Reno lecture on geothermal and lightning & northwest communities re earthquakes; Technique would market itself if a specific niche market could be found, i.e., some application where other techniques fail; ; Combining records of claim prospects, get movies from government agencies, drillers, & engineers.

27. What can we quote you about in marketing this seminar?

A great course with a knowledgeable instructor who personally developed much of this methodology; Very interesting, another tool for exploration; ; ; Yes

28. Title:

Geophysicist; Geologist; Mining Specialist; Videographer; Developer

Tuesday Field Trip 1: Geothermal Site

Wednesday Field Trip 2: Aquifer Exploration Site

